

Option A is the most timely option with the advantage of using existing EPA mechanisms to provide support, but also has the attached limitations of the TAG and TOSC programs as to the type of support which could be provided. Option B would procure independent technical assistance providers for the program and would relieve community members of TRCs and RABs of much of the administrative burden associated with managing government grants; however, it requires the time needed for a competitive procurement and does not provide the funds directly to community members of TRCs and RABs. Option C allows greater control and flexibility by community members, but imposes greater administrative burdens on community members of TRCs and RABs and on the contracting office issuing the purchase order. The Department of Defense is interested in determining the opinions of affected citizens and groups on these options. This would include preferences for particular options over others. It would also include comments on the individual options and the components of those options as described in Section II. There also exists the possibility of combining one or more of the Section II options. The Department of Defense solicits any comments or suggestions regarding option combinations. The Department of Defense also solicits comments on specific aspects of each option as well as on additional options desired to provide for technical and public participation assistance.

Within the options are specific items for which the Department of Defense solicits comments. These include the qualifications given for the independent technical assistance providers described in Option B. Comments on either the list of qualifications provided or on additional qualifications which should be added are encouraged. Both Options A and B have provisions for the division of the country into geographic areas with different service providers for each area. Do those commenting have preferences regarding nationwide versus regionalized coverage by service providers for these options? All options will be subject to an allotment cap. Do those commenting have suggestions as to the size of such a cap or the criteria which should be used to establish a cap? The Department of Defense has developed a list of public participation services it believes should be provided under Options B and C in addition to hiring technical advisors, facilitators, mediators and educators. These services are: translation and interpretation; training; transportation to meetings; and

payment of approved travel. Comments on these or other services to be included under Options B and C are encouraged.

Dated: May 18, 1995.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 95-12628 Filed 5-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD13-90-028]

RIN 2115-AE06

Regulated Navigation Area: Puget Sound and Strait of Juan de Fuca, WA; Grays Harbor, WA; Columbia River & Willamette River OR; Yaguina Bay, OR; Umpqua River, OR; Coos Bay, OR

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of termination.

SUMMARY: This rulemaking project was initiated to adopt regulations requiring an emergency tow-wire on tank barges while transiting certain port areas of the Pacific Northwest. The project is no longer necessary because the Coast Guard issued separate regulations on December 22, 1993, which require an emergency tow wire or tow line on all offshore oil barges. The Coast Guard is therefore terminating further rulemaking under docket number CGD13-90-028.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

LCDR J. Bigley or LTJG M. L. Kammerer, Thirteenth Coast Guard District, Port Safety and Security Branch, (206) 220-7210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 22, 1990, the Coast Guard published a "Request for comments; notice of hearing" at 55 FR 21044 seeking public comment on six navigation safety initiatives for port areas in the Pacific Northwest. These six safety initiatives involved the use of tug escorts, emergency towing plans, speed criteria, additional bridge personnel, emergency tow-wire requirements for tank barges, and requirements for extended pilotage. A public hearing was held on June 22, 1990, in Seattle, Washington, to hear comments on the six initiatives and alternative courses of action. The comments pertaining to emergency tow-wire requirements for tank barges were addressed and incorporated in a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) published on October 24, 1991 at 56 FR 55104.

The rule proposed by the October 24, 1991, NPRM would have required all tank barges to carry an emergency tow-wire while transiting certain port areas of the Pacific Northwest. This rule was proposed in response to the growing concerns of the citizens of Washington and Oregon that regulatory action was necessary to prevent the discharge of oil or other hazardous substances during transportation. The proposed rule was intended to enhance navigation safety, thereby reducing the risk of pollution and environmental damage from collisions and groundings.

Subsequent to publication of the October 24, 1991 NPRM, the Coast Guard issued regulations requiring that all offshore oil barges carry an emergency tow wire or tow line (December 22, 1993, 58 FR 67988). These separate regulations became effective on January 21, 1994, and are codified at 33 CFR 155.230. Because these separate regulations adequately addressed the same issue addressed by the proposed rule, the proposed rule has become unnecessary, and the Coast Guard is terminating further rulemaking under docket number CGD13-90-028.

Dated: May 16, 1995.

John A. Pierson,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Thirteenth Coast Guard District, Acting.

[FR Doc. 95-12735 Filed 5-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[KY-83-6927b; FRL-51848-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans State: Kentucky Approval of Revisions to State Implementation Plan (SIP)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the state implementation plan (SIP) submitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky through the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Cabinet (Cabinet). This revision will incorporate into the SIP an operating permit issued to the Calgon Carbon Corporation located in the Kentucky portion of the Ashland/Huntington ozone (O₃) nonattainment area. This permit will reduce the emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by requiring reasonably available control technology

(RACT). In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: To be considered, comments must be received by June 23, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Scott Southwick, at the EPA Regional Office listed below.

Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Division for Air Quality, Department for Environmental Protection, Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Cabinet, 316 St. Clair Mall, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott Southwick, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is 404/347-3555 x 4207. Reference file KY-083.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: March 21, 1995.

Patrick M. Tobin,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-12618 Filed 5-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[MN30-1-6215b; FRL-5183-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Minnesota

AGENCY: United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In the Final Rules Section of the **Federal Register**, the USEPA is approving recodification of Minnesota's regulations, removal of certain redundant and unnecessary regulations from the SIP, and other minor revisions. USEPA is taking that action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views the action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to the proposal of that action. If USEPA receives adverse public comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this action must be received by June 23, 1995.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Addresses and Supplementary Information are provided in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: March 20, 1995.

David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-12562 Filed 5-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[Region II Docket No.129, NY10-1-6212, FRL-5210-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; New York State Implementation Plan Revision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency is proposing to approve a revision to the New York State Implementation Plan (SIP) related to the control of volatile organic compounds. The SIP revision consists of amendments to Part 200, "General Provisions," Part 201, "Permits and Certificates," Part 228, "Surface Coating Processes," Part 229, "Petroleum and Volatile Organic Liquid Storage," Part 233, "Pharmaceutical and Cosmetic Manufacturing Processes," and Part 234, "Graphic Arts." The amendments extend reasonably available control technology rules to enlarged nonattainment areas and to areas of the Northeast Ozone Transport Region as required by the Clean Air Act. In addition, the amendments to Part 228 correct deficiencies in New York's existing SIP, as required by the Clean Air Act.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 23, 1995.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: William S. Baker, Chief Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Office, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866.

Copies of the State submittal are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours: Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Office, Air Programs Branch, 290 Broadway, 20th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866.

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Air Resources, 50 Wolf Road, Albany, New York 12233.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul R. Truchan, State Implementation Plan Section, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 20th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866, (212) 637-4249.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Clean Air Act (Act) as amended in 1990 sets forth a number of requirements that states with areas designated as nonattainment for ozone must satisfy and a timetable for satisfying these requirements. These requirements are further explained in the General Preamble to the Act (57 FR 13513), which was published on April 16, 1992. The specific requirements vary depending upon the severity of the ozone problem. One of the requirements, and the subject of this proposed rulemaking, is for states to adopt reasonably available control technology (RACT) rules for various volatile organic compound (VOC)